Board of Directors:

President, Cliff Eagar Vice President, Bob Walters Treasurer, Don Pepper Secretary, Vacant Park Liaison, Dorothy Darlow Special Projects, Desmond De Lusignan Park Enhancement, Rose Torget Member Without Portfolio, Paul Leblevec

1) Call The Meeting to Order.

Meeting was call at 10:00 am

2) Introduction of the Board of Directors

220: Cliff Eagar introduced each Board Member and 003 Jeff Rowe (Zoom Host)

- 3) The Meeting will be Conducted as Per Roberts Rules of Order, where possible.
- 4) Check of Registration and Proxies

265 Voters by return ballots

5) Ascertain a Quorum.

220 cliff Eagar: well over 50 members present. We have a Quorum.

6) Motion to Accept the Agenda as Circulated in your Package.

1st inaudible by tape 2nd #156 Rose Torget

7) First Order of Business Is to Approve the Minutes of the October 24, 2020, Annual General Meeting as Circulated In Your Package.

1st inaudible by tape 2nd #220 Cliff Eagar

8) Discussion of Business Arising from the Minutes of the Minutes of the October 24, 2020, AGM.

091 Cindy Dalglish: Regarding the October 24th AGM minutes and the discussions, she stated that the By-law E2 (Quorum) was not met and should be null and void. She felt there was confusion on number votes she understands that the ballots were not in a sealed box and were sorted through the day before the scrutineers were brought in. "This is an unsecure voting process" and she wants the meeting withdrawn from our records of the society and hold a "proper" AGM as soon as possible.

065 Helene: brought up points on voting method/steps. 085 Cliff Eagar reminded her she was off topic, and she should bring up at the proper time.

190 Wayne Darlow: Cindy's comments regarding previous AGM was held by a different Board and the new board shouldn't be held responsible for their actions.

091 Cindy Dalglish: Stated that was fair but she feels it doesn't matter it still didn't meet the requirements of the society act. She states in Sept 2019 she sent the previous board an email to inform them that process was not okay, but they went ahead with it anyway.

220 Cliff Eagar: stated that Cindy was not correct. Board contacted the Register of the Society Act and what was done was quite okay with them.

091 Cindy Dalglish: stated that not correct information and there is an information difference. She had been in contact with a Society Act expert, lawyer & Tribunal people. Meeting was not in accordance with Society Act even with the PHO & Pandemic response for society meetings.

220 Cliff Eagar: well previous the board acted in good understanding of what the proceedings were sent out what we understood it to be, and we conducted that meeting under that situation.

091 Cindy Dalglish: ask the President how are we only able to speak once for 2 minutes?

085 Don Pepper: commented that on last October 2020 meeting the Register Office approved of the format and the board proceeded on that basis that was last year and it was mentioned already. To discount that meeting now is a bit of a mute argument after we proceeded based on the best advise we had from the registrar of society's last September.

091 Cindy Dalglish: asked Don if he had evidence to states what the registrar stated.

085 Don Pepper: stated he would have to go back into the file, and we did definitely receive the approval from the registrar that approved the format for that meeting. We wouldn't have proceeded with it if we hadn't been given the go ahead. At this point intime we recognized that some of the procedures that occurred may have raised some red flags after the fact it doesn't change the fact that the way was the count was done the scrutineers that were present were all volunteers where all members in good standing from this park and conducted the count. Quorum was declared based on 151 votes cast at that meeting. 150 or 151. Board followed the advise that the board received we proceeded with it yes maybe it wasn't as secured as it should have been but at the end of the day all ballots that were received by the deadline were counted and recorded by the scrutineers in attendance all of those people were members in good standing of this park and at this point in time I believe your argument is mote because that has happened and the motions that went through at the time including the elections were carried through on.

096 Carol: she doesn't agree with everything that Don Pepper is saying about our last meeting as the past president. It was presented by some members I'm not going start calling names but it was presented at the Board and some of the Board Members didn't agree with it but we were out voted and particularly one person was in charge and that's what happened it was the way that this person had set it up and that's the way it was going to be it was Covid and no we're not doing Zoom seniors can't be involved it's not going to get the discussion its supposed to get and it was proceeded the was it was proceeded.

190 Wayne: Asked Cindy, do we really want to incur costs to have another election to prove nothing? What a waste of time and money.

091 Cindy: Cindy responded it's not mute and it leads to the next process that happens it was incorrectly done as well, which was the budget vote and even with this meeting. So that will come out through the meeting today.

293 Tim Doucette: Stated he was more willing to participate in this meeting but I'm not about to sit here and listen to "He Said She Said" and go back and forth to all the items. So, I think that there should be a fair discussion to each point minimized and call the vote and let's move on or we will be here all day because this is going to be "Tit for Tat" as I see it at this moment of time.

281 Dawn Shigematsu: Addressed Cindy's question on "Roberts Rules" regarding the number times and minutes each person can talk.

321 Lou Moodie: Point of Order. This motion was not presented as a motion just discussion.

220 Cliff: reminded 321 accepting last year's AGM was in fact a motion if you look at your ballot sheet as you know we are not voting on anything during this meeting we are discussing things.

286 Alfred Woo: Ask membership to move on and waive the irregularities.

9) Reports

097 Rollie Wheeler: Discussed "matters open to discussion" procedures he had with another society and how done here. He put out there for comment.

113 Paul: finds it interesting that VP report is signed by Bob Walters. Claims to have Bob's resignation in his hands. Paul asked Cliff why Bob Walters is still acting as a Board Member.

220 Cliff: Reminded Paul that he has been responded to on that matter. And he not sure why he is bringing it up again here. Cliff stated that his resignation as you say was not signed and was not presented to the board and the board did not accept that resignation.

113 Paul: quoted the society's act re: resignation.

098 Linda Kariya: Response to President's report. Offered her opinion on the President's responsibilities and explained why the Discussion FB Page was crated. Stated the letter sent out by two long term members by the board was pure propaganda. The two members sent that letter out with board permission and without actual information. Members have requested the board send out information to explain issues numerous times and the board failed to do so even though the Society Act say she has the right to be heard. The petitioners asked for a meeting with the board this was declined they only wanted only 3 that's not what the petitioners requested. They the board went to a lawyer and the advised that they don't have to hold the meeting because it wasn't sent by registered mail further the lawyer stated that we needed the signatures the lawyer provided unsound advice to the board and should know better that the electronic signatures act allows for typed signatures and each petition was attached so the board did have a copy of the petition. The president's comment about the board appealing to the membership to show the membership support should not be included.

003 Jeff: Time Please

098 Linda: Cliff allowed her to use her second two minutes. As per the email to the office practise this must stop immediately the terrible practise and procedure, we need new ways. Staff should not be privy to board member issues.

250 Lise Green: She feels like the board has done their best with the information that they have in the previous meetings perhaps but now that they have been made aware I think that their fiduciary duty would be to do something about it. Now with regards to Treasurer's report while I'm generally not against the budget the process for approving the budget was not okay. While the Treasurer stated he only received 4 inquiries and they were all answered is just simply untrue. Many members have voiced concerned re: issues about the budget and the process that were left unanswered. All the information outlined in this report should have been brought forward to the meeting before the budget was voted on. As for the movement of money, sure the board has the right to do this however I would like to remind the membership that we are a non-profit further it is not a baseless nor false accusation as the Treasurer has stated that he did indeed move the money. Yes, we should get an Audit every few years maybe we need to discuss the threshold the board can spend before requiring a member vote. When people asked the questions around the tree does the Treasurer feel that his response in his report is professional? The dialog around any expenditures could have easily been address respectively during a meeting. This information provided here was not made available when the board sent out the budget to vote. It is our right as members under the society act to ask questions and to hold dialog prior to voting it is my understanding that this budget vote should be thrown out and another proper meeting should be taking place.

085 Don: There were 4 inquiries about the budget sent to the office, those 4 were all replied to. There were no other inquiries that he received from any other person. In so far as moving money around the inuendo was that the board acted in bad faith in order to do something. His response was pretty specific if the people would read the minutes that are posted to the web site in the members section it gives you a financial snap shot every month of where we are with our money, where our money is, and if you check the minutes it will show you where the money was allocated on behalf of the board of directors and that their approval to purchase a GIC for ¼ of a million dollars rather than leaving money in our savings account which was earning .001% interest. The tree budget is the next thing I want address and the tree budget is significant every year. We have trees dying and to just carte blanche question that in a pretty negative approach about it got the response in kind to what that is. When we have blow downs like we have almost every year now yes, our tree budget goes out of site, it used to be \$8K we have consistently as I pointed out in my report running \$10 to \$14K a year for trees so if the trees would stop dying or branches stop falling and trees would not be tilting and creating a safety hazard they wouldn't have to be trimmed or cut down. And that is as much as he can address on that matter.

250 Lise: She understands that the treasurer did everything in good faith what she is saying is because they now have been aware of things maybe it should be their fiduciary duty to do something about it. I think what we are asking is simply to talk to hash out things and maybe to make things better for every body the board and members.

085 Don: He is not sure exactly what you're referring to but if it involves the money aspects and the transferring of money perhaps you would be specific. The board is obligated to ensure that we have money available at all times to pay our monthly obligations period. When we transfer money to a GIC we are doing that in order to protect the principal amount money. We cannot invest it in anything speculative without facing all kinds of problems because if we reduce the principal amount in anyway that would be malfeasance and we don't intend for that to happen. So, when the board purchases a GIC we're trying to maximize the return on the membership's money, and that is what we are doing.

249 Larry: Asked Treasurer why he did not get answer to his budget question.

085 Don: Answered that he did respond to email and will resend it to him.

249 Larry: Larry stated, "Make sure it has the date that it was originally responded to and not the new date"! Larry became confused in his next question. Questioned whether Bob had resigned.

231 Desmond: I have been on the Board for a year and been in the park for 20 years and we are going through a pandemic we have done our best to try and make people safe in the park and try to keep up with the expectations of everybody. Everybody should try to come here to enjoy themselves and that was why this park was designed for and I don't understand why we keep going back on the stuff that happened months and months and months ago. People are unhappy about these people that are causing all these problems in the park. And Mr. Sergeant, I think you're a good guy but just because you didn't get voted on the board doesn't mean you have to ruin everybody's enjoyment.

096 Carol: Asked why her items were not included in this agenda.

220 Cliff: She requested these to be included in the AGM as this is not an AGM but a General Meeting.

177 Barb: She was personally financially impacted by the board decision to close the park to the members during the winter. She lost thousands of dollars. She felt that because her husband was an essential worker so he should have been allowed in. Also questioned why the board requested information from personal files of members.

220 Cliff: reminded her that we were under pandemic conditions, none of the board had gone through these conditions. This board made the best decisions applicable at the time. Where they right or wrong, who is to say. We have got through that situation and hopefully this time next year the pandemic will be behind us.

231 231 Desmond: All members were allowed to come into the park, the board did not stop members. They were not allowed to stay overnight.

323 Bruce: Why are you limiting the number of comments for each topic? Has not received answers from Treasurer re: Budget questions. Also sent emails to board about the voting being flawed and she received no response. If we are a not-for-profit, why are you putting money into a GIC for profit. Why are is board putting money into a proper bank account to be safe? It will be safe as long as no one uses it, and we use it for what it is intended for. Why isn't hot water tank fixed yet.

085 Don: Wondered where 323 would like him to invest the money.

323 Bruce: Why are we investing it if we are a not-for-profit?

085 Don: Asked "so you don't want to earn any money on \$500K"?

323 Bruce: No, I guess not, we're a not-for-profit

085 Don: It also subsidizes your membership dues. The interest earned, if she would take a look at the financial statement under other income. Are you saying that you don't think we should be investing in something where the principal is secured generates income used to help reduce the overall cost of dues?

323 Bruce: No, I didn't say that at all. You didn't tell us that in your budget. She then asked President why her voting was pulled and compared to what is happening now.

220 Cliff: He could give her an answer he didn't know why it was pulled. He stated he did not pull it and he didn't know who pulled it.

113 Paul: Stated there is an email from president requesting a cross-reference of all the petitioners on whether or not they voted in the fall AGM. Also, Rose requested to know how many years they have been in the park.

323 Bruce: Ask President why did he ask for this information and why does it matter?

220 Cliff: We are going back in history, and it doesn't mean thing to us any longer. It was a point of information. That information was not used for anything else. It was to try to understand where people were standing.

315 Terry Warner: He didn't agree with everything decision that the board made but I realized that they are a group of volunteers dealing with an extremely difficult situation. At this point intime I'm getting very tired of group of conspiracy theorists monopolising this conversation. The things he keeps hearing they are going on as if we're running a federal election with all these requirements for voting. Expressed concern if we are not careful, we will lose our employees because they are going to quit, and we will lose all of our board members because they are going to quit.

374: Finds it really rich that the people of this meeting saying how open they are to other people's point of view, she doesn't think she has heard anything that funny before. She joined the discussion group and on numerous occasions she made comments that she felt were true and were not necessary in agreement with what the majority of the discussion group felt. She states she was bashed up one side and right straight down the other. So don't talk to me about having open discussions. Discussion for most of these people is if you agree them then you get along fine if you don't agree with them then they don't want to hear from you.

064 Linda Costain: Stated the board was spreading a falsehood that the Petition group is trying to change the park from a non-profit to an RV status. What they are requesting is that the board follow the rules and regulations. She stated there was no reason to close the park last winter. Stated all members have a voice and the board should listen to all of them. She promoted their discussion group and views.

156 Rose: Addressed 064 comment on the spreading a rumor regarding petitioners changing the use of the park. No board member has said that. She doesn't know where she is getting her information but that has not been said by the board.

064: states she got that from members of park.

220: President states that members of the park are passing on information that is not coming from the Board. This board has never had that conversation.

220 Cliff: President reads letter received from the staff. The letter gives their opinions the board and recent events. *A copy of the letter will be provided as an Addendum to these minutes.*

281 Dawn: Requested people to stop cutting in and let the host respond to your hand. Because none of the rest have had an opportunity to speak.

166 Brian: My I interrupt? Several of us have our hands up and there is about 6 people in meeting that will not stop talking and interrupting. It is very simple we are in the middle of a pandemic if you do not like the board there is ways to get rid of them it is called the next AGM. Can we not just get back to getting through this pandemic and enjoy our park without all of this BS going around. If you're not happy with this board then run yourself find enough people that will vote for you, and you see how grateful people are of you when you are on the board.

220 Cliff: Called for 5-minute break

220 Cliff: You have all the ballot sheets in your hands...

323 Kari-Lynn: Sorry I'm calling a point of order.

220 Cliff: What is your point of order?

323 Kari-Lynn: Are we following Roberts rules of order?

220 Cliff: As far as we can. Yes.

323 Kari-Lynn: Okay, so that means amendments can be held on the motions.

220 Cliff: And how do you propose how we actually capture those.

323 Kari-Lynn: Well The ballots probably shouldn't have been handed in until after we have done this meeting.

293 Jim Doucette: Point of Order. This is not a meeting this is a discussion about the ballot that was sent to you. So, you either vote yes or no and that's the end of it until we get back to a face-to-face meeting in the club house.

249 Larry: I have a point of order. If after the vote and you are removed as a board member the act says you cease right away. So, if this goes and you get removed as a board. Humm... you don't have any section here for new board members so how can we vote board members if you get unelected.

220 Cliff: On that point that was a motion that the petition group put forward and did not put forward any option of actually re-electing new board. We have now gone over

249 Larry: NO!!!!! Hold on Hold on. You answered the question, but you didn't quite give the true of what the petition says. The petition says you get a vote and if you get umm.... Unelected or not elected again then it gets sent out that when that you have that same meeting, they we move to vote back into so we have a umm..... I'll let Cindy explain it better.

091 Cindy: Okay the point of order that Larry is trying to state is as per Roberts rules of order each motion must be discussed before going to a vote how will the vote work if all members of the park have ballots but are not all in attendance or proxied for this meeting. Ahh that is question to you but is the board aware that the current voting process is not valid as stated the Society Act (84.6).

220 Cliff: Thank you very much.

091 Cindy: This vote will be challenged.

231 Desmond: You will challenge everything and that is what the problem is.

220 Cliff: If now we can get on to the next item, which really is you have the votes the ballots in your sheets. Submit those and if this board then is not elected, we will have to go through the process of holding an AGM and that is quite clear. We don't have to discuss that any longer.

064 Linda Costain: there is no place for abstaining a vote on these ballots.

220 Cliff: Just don't fill it in.

064 Linda: And then will our vote be nullified full page or what will happen.

220 Cliff: That particular vote will be a No vote.

281 Dawn: I would like to interrupt if I could please. What I'm hearing is a lot of people have already voted and put their ballot in the box. Listening to this discussion (not a meeting) if people have changed their mind during this discussion how is that process is going to take place if they have already put their ballots in the box. I don't know if I'm misunderstanding it correctly or not but that's a question, I'm asking in response to the other lady that talked before. Thank you.

231 Desmond: There is nothing is there? No. Once you voted you voted.

091 Cindy: Which is way the Society Act state you have to be in attendance to vote.

220 Cliff: I wish we could be in attendance Cindy.

091 Cindy: We are in attendance we have more people here than you usually have at the hall.

231 Desmond: No that's not true.

220 Cliff: Let's not debate how many people are there. As Desmond said once your vote is in your vote is in and if you want to raise any further issues then you have to send in emails and say we need to further discuss this, and the board will take it under consideration. Thank You. Alright we are on to

Item 11 which is open discussion and I'm trusting we are not going to go through the same type of issues that we've been through already. If you've got any other issues to raise just raise them under this item of discussion.

014 Jack Harding: We've been in the park now about two years and it really grieves me to see that people that have such privilege that afford to go and escape from the world now we're bringing it in this world of what good for me and not what is good for the park. Should be concentrating on all of our efforts into is to bring people together not to divide us into factions of he said this they said this. The covid has invaded all parts of society around the world and now we're letting a one-time world event screw up the future our escape place up where the trees are so can we please put an effort into bring people together instead of trying .. we'll never get through this. We'll have no conclusion no-one will be happy every body is committed to their own agenda instead of the agenda of a safe place in a park for our families, so I've only been here two years and I love the place but it's getting out of hand and there is going to be no resolution unless we agree to work together and forget about individual issues. The board is a volunteer group that does the best they can do with what they've got and if they have got to toxic environment work in why would anybody ever run and want to be on the board that's dividing people instead of bringing them together. So that's my comments and I really wish you guys would forget about your own individual things to work out and work together.

231 Desmond: Thank you very much Mr. Harding that was a great comment. We appreciate that thank you.

065 Helene: Presents her six ideas regarding the voting process. And asked to extend the voting deadline.

220 Cliff: Thank you and many of those points that you raised we have taken in consideration. Probably 90% of what you are doing we are not using any of the members in the park to do this. We taken into consideration some of the comments that have come back that board members does not hold a secured meeting of secured accountings we have gone to an outside reputable group like the Lions club their meeting or discuss will be totalling on their own they will then hand their ballot complied sheet into the office the ballot sheet that have your signature and your names on will be destroyed after and they will be the ones that will be destroying them and we will have no signatures so we cannot trace back on what has been said on ballots as they have been cast. I think we have actually covered much of what you have been worried about.

220 Cliff: The first motion then to accept the agenda Which you need to vote on that. The second item is the special petition. We on motion #1 anybody want to talk about that. That was a motion put forward by the petition group.

091 Cindy: There's been a lot of issues through out the park in the past in the winter the manor in which this has escalated falls to the leadership and I say that respectively because I understand that there are board members or a board member that tends to run roughshod over everyone however we did not need to get here we asked the board for a meeting and in all of my years on boards and I'm on 7 boards well 6 now I just dropped one I have never seen a board say no to meeting with their members all of these issues could have been dealt with and respectively and quickly and all of that had this board said

yes to a meeting with all the members that were asking not just the three they offered to see. Cliff has continued to allow inexcusable behaviours from board members including threats name calling Rosemary Torget is in an email calling me a two faced "B". I have not received an apology I have not received a retraction I have also been accused of not following PHO orders and I've asked that to be retracted and an apology and that has not been forth coming either.

- 231 Desmond: Okay so now we are just talking about what we think about Cliff
- 091 Cindy: No, I have the floor
- 231 Desmond: So, lets get on to it lets discuss about Cliff. Now we can work our way done the list.
- 091 Cindy: Yes, we are He cannot interrupt.
- 231 Desmond: You are the one that is actually causing all the problems.
- 249 Larry: That comment needs to be taken away from Desmond he cannot people that are speaking on a motion cannot interrupt and then call them a name that is uncalled for.
- 231 Desmond: I didn't call her a name
- 249 Larry: Well, what do you call her?
- 091 Cindy: It doesn't matter let me finish.
- 293 Jim Doucette: Yes, please finish.
- 091 Cindy: Cliff has allowed this inexcusable behaviour to continue he has egged on and lacked leadership to it from getting to this point. Staff has been exposed to of this issues because of a lack of leadership. It is so unfair that our staff has been brought into the middle of this by the board and inflicting them with all of the different information. Behaviour unbecoming whether it is tantrums by other board members swerving their cars towards members to a
- 231 Desmond: Thank you very much for your comments your 2 minutes is over.
- 091 Cindy: I didn't realize you were hosting this. I will stop there.
- 113 Paul: Stated Cliff received Bob's resignation. I explained to you it is official.
- 190 Dorothy: You have already stated this today.
- 113 Paul: I'm just speaking on the motion about Cliff being removed so please let me speak.
- 220 Cliff: If you're going to repeat what ever you said earlier
- 113 Paul: Would let me speak please
- 220 Cliff: Well, if you are going to say something differently you can speak
- 113 Paul: You have not accepted the resignation and you have hidden that from the membership, and I discussed that with you. In that discussion with Bob. Bob threatened me and swore at me, and you were

a witness to all of that and you've done nothing about that as well. I Think you don't understand how things run you think that our by-laws. So Clif you stated to me that we follow Roberts rules of order but in fact we do not when it comes to the by-laws so this is just a few of the reasons a lack of leadership like Cindy said no of this would have happened if we had listened to the members and dealt with this ignoring them calling them names and shutting them out and so on and so forth so definitely there's been a lack of leadership and I will be voting to have you removed. Thank you.

064 Linda Costain: I'm calling for Cliff resignation or removal from office as he has denigrated a large group of the members, he's ignored a large group of members he has in his president report call out a large group of members and we have tried everything. The reason we have got to this point we went to the Tribunal is because this board ignored several requests to meet with us, they said they would meet with only 3 people we feel there was well over 3 people that had different issues and everybody should be able to discuss them. You have not done the job that you are hired for or that you accepted when you accepted the position each board member like you all to listen to this because I believe its true to all of you, you are in the position that when you go on a board you respect and you umm are responsible to listen to and communicate with every single member whether we agree with you or not and this board has not done that I been here 13 years I've never ever gone to this point

003 Jeff: Time Please

059 Manda: You & I had a history along time you turned out to be very dictator. You dictate you don't talk to me you don't listen to me I have to say for that reason I am voting against you.

220 Cliff: Thank you

385 John McDonald: I just wanted to make a point about these motions. We should not be discussing what your choices are going to be in terms of how you're going to vote. In looking at these motions you need to be looking at in terms of how they are worded and whether or not it's going to be included in the list. Your choices are not what's expected. What we want to know is; is this motion written properly and is it going to be adopted and is it going to be seconded and is it going to be accepted by the members. What you want to choose in terms of how you vote is not what we should be talking about here. We are looking at including this motion you are going to look at it in terms of how it is worded, and we are going to look from the point of view whether or not we are going to give it an adoption or second and an acceptance of it. What you are going to do in your vote I don't want to know I what to know this motion is going to be put forward and it is written properly and then gives me the idea of how I can vote. But I don't want to know what you want to do and why.

220 Cliff: Okay let's go on to motion #2.

091 Cindy: Bob Walters has already resigned from his position and should not even be still on the board but I'd like to remind members the rational for this is that not only did he already resign but he resigned for conduct unbecoming by threating a fellow board member by sending a members emails to staff members violating their privacy and confidentiality for the way he has spoken to people and what not Dorothy I see that you very upset with that and that is really unfortunate but if that is not factually true please let me know different.

- 231 Desmond: The confidentiality issue there you were the one wanted to go to the office and give everybody email out to everybody
- 091 Cindy: That's great I'm glad you brought that up Desmond because
- 231 Desmond: Because you talked about confidentiality, but you don't want to practise confidentiality
- 091 Cindy: Yeah, so as members and according to the Society Act
- 231 Desmond: We would never let anybody personal information go out to anybody which you wanted to happen. Yes, and we at no time would give anybody's information out like you wanted to, and I think that's not a good idea. People don't want all their information going out to everybody in the world.
- 091Cindy: Yeah, fair enough Desmond but a
- 231 Desmond: Thank you we have already discussed this let move on to something we haven't discussed.
- 091 Cindy: I get to respond to you. I get to respond to you. Unfortunately, the information about asking Lynn for member information was misconstrued. We asked *(231 Desmond: No, it wasn't)* I have an audio recording so if you like to see that Desmond, I'd happy to share that with you.
- 231 Desmond: Thank you very much and I appreciate that
- 091 Cindy: Yeah, okay so I will send that to you after this meeting

Desmon: Thank you I do appreciate that

091 Cindy: So just to clarify for the record that the ask of Lynn for the members information was to ask for her to give us the email addresses not your personal information

231 Desmond: Well, that's personal information

091Cindy: No, its not

231 Desmond: Yes, it is

091Cindy: In fact, the society Act says (231 Desmond: Maybe not in your world but is in our world it is) Yeah, the Society Act is what governs us not

231 Desmond: That's personal information we do not give out personal information

385 John McDonald: May I break in here for a second?

091Cindy: No, you may not I get the floor.

385 John McDonald: You have had you 4 minutes Now back off!!

XXX???: Thank you. Way to go!

091Cindy: I will continue

XXX???: "Laughter" Oh my god Cindy you are so rich.

231 Desmond: Miss Dalglish you have spoken about 10 times already why don't you let someone else speak that would like to discuss other things in the park that we are all here for. Let's go to someone who hasn't had a chance to speak.

003 Jeff: Alright we will go to #352

249Larry: I think that the people that are speaking need to have their hand up whether they are on the board or not on the board they don't just pop in and talk unless they have a point of order or they're going to be speaking. You cannot have a board member shooting everybody down every time they have to say something because he doesn't like it.

352Cheryl: I think we really need to have outside people professional people running our meetings okay so that thing even like points of orders are being misused okay so that somebody gets to have lots of time to talk. The other thing I wanted to say is it seems like there is a lot of people that want to have this particular board removed and immediately to have a vote on another one. Well, we are having an AGM September and we are already in the middle of May so practically how on earth can this really happen sooner than the AGM and at that point at the AGM there is going to be an opportunity to vote in new members to the board.

276 Sharon Hurd: Yes, as a point of order I'm wondering I have a suggestion for expediting this a little more quickly I'm thinking that motions that regarding #1 to #7 that we just deal with them as a group then in order let everybody have their say they can just vote on the ballot it feels a little bit like a court trial or tribunal instead of a orderly process so I just like us to vote with our pens at this point. And I would also as a point of order ask the host to just keep people muted unless they are recognized by the chair.

003 Jeff: People are able to unmute them selves, so we are going to have to ask people to be more respectful and stay muted until asked to speak

281 Dawn: There is a way Jeff that you can mute everybody

003Jeff: Yes, I can. Yes, I know. Okay we'll go to #113

113Paul: Regarding Bob Walters we have already received his resignation but on top of that Bob Walters was sending emails to board members on several occasions, emails, disparaging members calling them all sorts of names and he also in meeting said oh I'm going to resign and then smack some one and Cliff egged him on joking.

231 Desmond: We have already discussed this Paul and why are discussing this again. You have discussed this like 3 times.

113Paul: Again, these are the reasons Bob Walters need to resign and I would appreciate an apology. One more thing is board does not understand the by-laws.

123Dana: Trusted that the board members would as per our constitution item D2A under duties of directors. No longer has faith in the current board. The members are acting as single entity signing most

communications as the Board of Directors Harrison Holiday Park Association. This indicates to me that all Board members with the exception of one are at agreement with all actions taken by members of the board. So, I have a question for each individual members of the board. My question to each member of the board is: Do the board members individually agree with all content of public emails and reports put forth and signed the board of directors Harrison holiday park association I'm asking individually yes or no will suffice who would like to go first.

220 Cliff: What's the question sorry you lost me?

123 Dana: Asks the question again.

220Cliff: What's the question? I'm not sure what the question is.

113Paul: I do not Dana.

231 Desmond: Well, all vote on these things.

085 Don: Can I handle this?

123Dana: I'm asking you all individually.

231 Desmond: Okay thank you. Did you want to continue?

085Don: Every board member receives that discussion point the board then sends recommendations for reply to each other it is then handled by usually the president and or the park liaison in most cases but before any reply is sent out to any individual each and every board member on that specific reply and then that reply once approved is sent out to the individual who sent the inquiry.

123Dana: No, I'm not talking about individual emails I'm talking about notices that go out to all members. So, Don, I'll ask you. (Repeats question)

085Don: Ones that we have sent out so far, yes.

220Cliff: They've all bee circulated out to each member of the board. Those of you in good standing and there are public knowledge then yes.

123Dana: Okay so each and every member agrees with the content of those.

220Cliff: That's what they just stated yes.

123Dana: Well, I have heard from the other members of the board. I have only heard from you Cliff and heard from Desmond, and I've heard from Don. I'd like to ask the remainder.

156Rose: And once we have decided between the board members then it is a majority vote those publications go out to the members.

123Dana: So, you agree with all of the wording?

231 Desmond: It is a majority vote

156Rose: It is a majority vote

123Dana: I'm asking you do you individually content that has gone out.

156Rose: It depends on what you are talking about because with everything sent out, I could have agreed on one thing but not on another thing on another content.

123Dana: interrupts.

156Rose: Would you let me finish?

123Dana: No

156Rose: okay then I'm not going to answer it because there is so many, we have sent out so many publications some we may have said yes, we agree on and some that no we don't agree on so unless you want to go through every single membership notice out there, I can't say yes or no because each one is an individual vote.

190Dorothy: I have the same answer as rose has. We vote on it and the majority rules, and we go with the majority.

085Don: If I could add one further comment Dana. When a majority votes on something we as a board stand as one with that reply and stand behind that reply. Whether our internal discussions may or may not approve certain sections is immaterial when any communications is forwarded out this board agrees by majority and then the Board of Directors as a whole is responsible and takes responsibility for that communication.

178Ben: Motion #4 & motion #11 are the same, can't have two of the same motion so will one be removed at voting time.

236Kelly: Which will be Disqualified?

085Don: Feels his point is very valid. And I would strongly recommend that item #11 that any votes on that be not counted

178Ben: Okay so number 11

236Kelly: Number 11 will be removed?

085Don: It can't be removed because it is on the ballot but when counting that will not included in the count. We give the scrutineers instructions not to count that one.

236Kelly: Number 11? I'm not clear.

085Don: Yes, the second one.

178Ben: Number 11 okay. Thank you I just wanted clarification on that.

249Larry: I just what to clarify are talking about all of the board members at one time or are going individually because depends on how I'm going to answer my question or ask a question

220Cliff: Same time Combine them all together and talk once on that.

249Larry: Okay so I'm going to asking I'll ask one at a time and so the first one is for

Bob Walters is it the showers are we getting new showers or are going get the showers fixed. My understanding is there is a member that went and looked at the showers and said he could fix them and no let me rephrase it I'm not asking the question I'm stating a fact on why them removed. So Desmond and Bob both went to a to look at the showers with one of our members and the member said that he could fix the showers and it would take maybe a week or so to fix them that is when they first went down and the board said as per Desmond and Bob that they have ordered showers they are going to get fixed next week and at a cost of I think it was I don't want to say about the cost because I can't remember but the board member said if you give me until Tuesday I'll see if I can get the part and I'm just wondering why we didn't utilise as a Co-Op utilise our member of the park so there for I suggest that both those members be removed.

231 Desmond: Okay thank you very much for your comment there. So, the washrooms there they have been repaired every year the hot water tanks have been repair every year for the last they are 17 years old. Actually, you were there yourself we took a look at those, and we came to the agreement both of these units are past repair and we need to get new units in there that are more economical that are saving propane because these ones are so outdated. We did look into and that's why I talked to Randy about it because Randy wanted to go and fix them. Me and Randy discussed this, and we both came to the same conclusion that they are past their time, and they need to be replaced. I'm actually a certified gas fitter and plumber. So, me and Randy came to the same conclusion. We did try to fix them, but they are not fixable.

249Larry: Still doesn't change the fact that I want removed because we could have had them fixed a cheaper cost.

231 Desmond; No, we couldn't have had them fixed at a cheaper cost. You were there Mr. Umm you were there, and you would know what the discussion was. They are 17 years old, and we have spent a couple hundred dollars a year fixing them, and they are past their prime.

293 Jim: May I have a Point of order? If we are going to speak to these motions if you want them from the board speak that you want them removed from the board and the reason why the board does not need to respond back and let's move on through this list. Its pretty clear of the way the motions are written what the expectations are for the questions so to save all of our minds lets just move on here an speak very succinctly if you want to speak to that motion, we don't need to tell stories.

249Larry: UH I think that's what I did I said why I wanted removed because of the extra cost for us he choose to answer the question and I can't stop that that's up to the umm umm umm

231 Desmond: Yes, thank you very much Mr. Sergeant and we'll continue on.

249Larry: The next one is Don Pepper now I've only been in park for a year

220Cliff: Larry, Larry we said that we were going to talk about them as a whole do you want the whole board removed or do you not want the whole board removed. You've spoken about individuals. Please ...

249Larry: I want the whole board removed but I'm also going to give my reason why I want some board members removed. The ones that I don't speak about doesn't mean I want them removed but I would like to see the whole board removed. The ones I'm going to speak about are the ones I'd really like to see be removed and the next one is Don Pepper now I have only been here a year so I've never been able to attend a meeting and see Mr. Pepper in action I've only heard about it but last Saturday I was outside the office and listening to poor Lynn and what she had to listen to from Don Pepper yelling and screaming and slamming doors and we're trying to have a safe working place for our staff members and Cliff that is your responsibility but to have a board member go into the office yell scream so loud that I'm on the outside wall and I can hear him yelling another board member because he doesn't like what is saying

220Cliff: is unfounded I spoke to Lynn about it and Lyn was in fact taking to another board member not Don Pepper to get out

249Larry: AHHHHH I'm going to say you weren't there, so you do not know, and I was there and there HOLD ON

220Cliff: You are out of order I spoke to Lynn Directly and this was her comment to me

249Larry: So, you are telling me that I was out of order when I was there, I am telling you what I heard. Don Pepper and it is also quoted in a message on discussion group page from ahh Rose, I believe it is that again Don Pepper basically lost his cool and slammed his door and was yelling

003Jeff: Time Please

Paul LeBlevec: It is all on Video.

249Larry: So, I was outside I heard what happen and I seen him and heard him slammed the door. Now that is unfair for Lynn and have to listen to a board member erupt like that so I'm calling for him to be removed for the safety and of the board um I mean of the working staff, so they don't have to be listening to that.

003Jeff: That's 4 minutes!!!!

249Larry: Yeah, but I had 6 people so I should have 6 minutes.

156Rose: No, it doesn't work that way. I would like to reply to something that Larry said. I was in the office and Lynn asked everyone sorry <u>Lynn asked Paul</u> to leave the office and if he had left the office this whole event would not have happen

249Larry: Since you are asking my question, I get to answer to that. So is Paul not a board member

220Cliff: Paul is a Board member.

249Larry: So, if Paul

156Rosse: She asked him to leave though.

249Larry: So, if Paul is a board member and he is asking a question to Don Pepper and Don Pepper doesn't like the answer and he gets up and yells and screams and carries on why would Lynn be asking Don I mean Paul to leave is she afraid of Don Pepper is that why she is not asking him to leave.

220Cliff: You're out of order now You're out of order. Let's go onto the next.

395Zoey: I am not in support of those motions to remove the board members I feel like we are in unprecedented times and that we are in a pandemic and I feel that everyone is at stress levels they we've never experienced before we are going through situations that have never happened to any of us before and I feel like the board is doing the best under the circumstances in terms of the beginning with the public health orders any every thing and things change and I understand that we all don't agree with decisions the board made sometimes but we also voted them in and I haven't seen the board do anything so egregious that they need to be removed we can definitely disagree with some of the decisions they made and some of the things that maybe have been said but at this point I think that in order to get back to happy and healthy place lets support this board lets go through it if you don't agree with this board then at the next AGM when there is a vote then don't vote for those board members if they go up again. I just think that this has gotten so out of hand its just I want everyone to be happy again and I think that I understand that you are frustrated with the board but the board is not be malicious these are volunteers these are people I'm on a board too I'm the president and it is a volunteer position and I do the best in the position when I'm doing those duties and I think that everyone on that board is doing best they can in the situation and no one is trying to be malicious no one is trying to point anyone out or do any thing I just feel like we need to try support them and if you don't agree when it comes to the AGM vote some else in.

220Cliff: Motion #5 who wants to talk against Desmond? No one okay Motion #6.

091Cindy: In light of Rosemary's the reason I this motion for me is a go is because Rosemary has behaviour unbecoming of a board member calling me a two faced "B" accusing other members of improper activities calling our members stupid idiots in emails and misrepresenting what has occurred in the office I can't stand for it that is not an appropriate conduct of a board member and for that I'm asking for her to resign today or be removed.

097 Rollie: Normally when you speak to the chair, or you speak to the board you don't use personal names you use positions just to try and keep it off a personal basis if you possibly can and just out of a respect for every body. As far as the privacy goes, I just think it a simply matter the board sooner of later just go and ask some people if they willing to have their phone numbers personal emails or what ever it let out to the public if asked or required if those individuals say no I don't want fine just leave it like that guys. Stop just back off with what may be rumours innuendos and conspiracy theories I think the people on the board I think from what I see I mean they always said a sure way to lose friend is be a volunteer and as soon as you get elected to a board you lose more friends then you ever make and that's just the way it is. But I think it would be better if everyone was just a little bit easier on every body and any questions in regards and people have concerns but if you just can't agree then maybe the society act can supersede that stuff. So, God let all try and get along let's move forward and you know once you elect a board its there until the term is up there is no need to have a coup or an insurrection I'm sure they're

going to do the best they can and when the time comes if you don't like them vote them out and vote someone else in.

220Cliff: Now we on Motion #7 two speakers. Motion #8

011 Linda Lemon: Lets start fresh and a whole new board this board doesn't seem to get along with each other let alone with members. I just think it should all just be resolved by getting a whole new board. I don't even know how long each person's term is and because I don't live near by, I have no time to get my ballot down there by Friday. The whole ballot thing needs to be rewritten redone and then do it over.

220Cliff: Motion #9

091Cindy: Didn't have a chance to finish Motion #8 so I just want to be clear that right now if the members decide to remove any or all directors via a ballot that is not even due, yet they can't force an immediate election for replacement therefore Motion #8 cants be on this ballot so therefore you're not meeting special meeting requirements

220Cliff: Where you talking about #8 or #9?

091Cindy: #8

220Cliff: Okay we are on #9

195Carrie: Getting a fresh start is an awesome choice for everybody.

113LeBlevec: I've been here for 40 years Paul been here for 22 years I pretty much beg him to go on the board this has been extremely stressful for us. The board really does work hard every single time they are together the problems that we are having though is following the proper rules and regs Mr. Pepper seems to be the guy that runs the show everybody listens to him and does what he says and it is really unfortunate but they put into the staff I'm emotional about it that our staff that work here we have relationships with for years and years are now against my husband who has done nothing ever to upset them he has only been there to help as much as he can and this isn't about staff then none of this should be even spoken with or the emails should even be going through to them so unfortunate Carrie really, really appreciate what you were talking about welcome to the park this not how this has ever gone before we really appreciate hearing your voice and honest to God this is not how this place is run but I'll tell you Mr. Pepper is not even looking at me right now he's not paying attention to what I'm saying he's having a side conversation like he does normally because he doesn't what to hear anything that anybody else has to say if you do not agree with him we are not in agreeance and that's it and it just absurd the whole board absolutely has

085Don: I'm sorry I'm just

113LeBlevec: And now you are interrupting me again

085Don: Okay and I have a family issue right now that's what I was looking at

113LeBlevec; Uh Okay well thank you Mr. Pepper for yelling at me like that Okay that's all I have to say. The whole board needs to go.

220Cliff: Okay we have now finished Motion #9 we now move on to Motion #10

197Kathy: My concern you are asking people to not have these discussions and I find that to be part of the problem kind of like fundamentally. My concern also is that the actions of the board have breached the rights of holiday Park's members and their privacy and in doing so I'm referring to is keeping track of memberships votes that were recorded stored and then accessed by the boards I don't believe that an acceptable practice infringes on members rights privacy regarding their feeling of the park or who they are voting for and that the Harrison holiday park members records access by the board were used and circulated including members personal information their names and their affiliation social media platforms and regarding cliff's response to previous members question really didn't provide any clarity to why the board would take on that position regarding previous votes or how you feel because it doesn't necessarily reflect how anybody feels right now. Its private information. And I'm just requesting that member of the board maybe identify clearly for what purpose would that information be collected and then emailed amongst the board. So that's really a question. And my main focus is I'd like some assurance that board members that I choose and select are acting in good faith and can maintain an objective and not on bias approach by agree to disagree and professional attitude while conducting Harrison holiday park

240Letti: I want to talk about the fact that only two members of the petition which there was 62 signatures are named here is discriminatory further this membership serves to take away the rights of the membership through the chair to the member that put forth the motion members have a legal right to petition are you saying the members in good standing can not exercise their rights. I demand that this motion be withdrawn as it is Unconstitutional irrational and has no basis.

220Cliff: You've got the option to vote NO for it

240Letti: I'm asking that the motion be withdrawn as it is unconstitutional irrational and has no basis. You can not take away a member's right to petition.

232Kari-Lynn: I second that motion

123Dana: I don't understand how the board could even allow this motion to be on the ballot its discriminatory first of all secondly it is an attempt to interfere with my freedom of expression. That's all I have to say. No comments on it being Discriminatory? No comments about my freedom of expression? I guess no response is a response.

190Dorothy: This is Dorothy we will remove motion #10 from the ballot. The ones already in there will not be counted.

220Cliff: Now number 12

281Dawn: I just respond to I think I'm misunderstanding some of the things I don't understand where the belief is that the board is going back in time to find out who voted for what because my understanding as a previous board member any time we voted on anything and it is tallied the people

that are tallying always been members in the past have destroyed the ballots when they are finished nobody knows who voted for what. The other thing I wanted to speak about is Larry has called the pard a Co-Op it is not a Co-Op it is a campground and that can cause a lot of confusion when we are mixed in with several different bodies. We are a campground. So, if I'm in incorrect in how the voting goes, please correct it for me. Thank you

220Cliff: You are correct it is a campground, and it is a members', and it is in fact called a Camping Club

395Zoey: I just wanted to say that I know just removed Motion #10 and I agree that everyone has the right to discuss things I just would think for the future if we could stop talking about the staff and we have all talked about to here and that you know like making things personal let's keep it constructive and just ask that for the future that maybe we not be bringing up the staff in that face book group and talking specifically about their jobs you know the thing is staff are here and the can read that face book page and see things so that everything you think the board is telling the staff how do know that they're not reading that face book page seeing all these things and drawing their own conclusions so I would just say for the future if we could be respectful and limit our discussions about the staff members and be talking about them because I can only imagine that must me very stressful in your job to have people basically talking and critiquing you job in such a public forum too.

220Cliff: I think we are talking on #12 at the moment and that was do we hold a special zoom meeting for the rules and regulations or do we wait for an open forum. That's what we are talking about at the moment. Rose put that forward and I seconded that motion. I think the point here is that when are talking about rules & regulations which effects everybody in the park having a face to face discussion where people can respond is a far better forum to do that change then on zoom because as we discovered now how do you go backwards & forwards put a proposal have a counter proposal it is just not a way to go forward so that is our motion and if you want to discuss that further we'll have two more

197Kathy: On my previous comment which is relevant to what we are discussing I had asked if the member of the board would maybe identify for clarification if those ballots were not destroyed and were accessed why is that because that tells me that our votes are actually being saved and accessed and if that is the case why? It is relevant for me as to how the board is currently operating and whether I'm okay with that or not.

220Cliff: I stated that the minutes for the ballots from this meeting will be destroyed there will be no reference back to any member. The consolidated sheet which has no name on it just a set of numbers on it that's the only thing retained all the other ballots with your personal information will be destroyed by the scrutineers

197Kathy: Okay I appreciate that. So, is that a new process? Because that is now what previous....

220Cliff: I can't talk to previous boards this is this board

197Kathy: No, I talking specifically it concerns me that my information was emailed and disseminated how I voted whether I voted and what social platform I'm on but specifically that information was used correct?

220Cliff: All I can tell you is that going forward this board can not decision for future boards this board will destroy these ballots

197Kathy: Okay so I'll does anyone else on the board what to answer I'd just like an acknowledge that was not the way it was previously done. Anybody? That is, it for me but for the record I take the silence from the board members that are here is that your silence is to me acknowledging that you took me information and every person information and actually kept it when you weren't supposed to and spoke about how people voted and then what social media platform they are associated to. Thank you.

276Sharon: In regard to motion #12 I would have a suggestion I think this meeting has demonstrated that having a committee of 100 and some is a bit difficult to have and so I was thinking that if we asked them members to submit ideas for what they think we need in terms of changes to the rules & regulations that those be submitted in writing and that the board then peruse them and then come forward with a document of proposed changes and then we can discuss from that document. I think that would allow for a more productive discussion. Thank you

220Cliff: That will be the way forward. But we are saying here do we want to hold via Zoom or Via not and you're saying that it is unproductive

085Don: We had a huge response back in September of requests for changes to R&R's and bylaws changes plus the board had already initiated I believe it was like 24 separate items for R&R's and last September we acknowledger everyone that their suggestions and recommendations for rule changes bylaw revisions would be reviewed in in its own special general meeting we still have all of those requests and once we get to the point where we can have a special meeting to vote it only to the R&R's and the bylaws the all the votes that we already have plus any new ones can be brought up and discussed voted on and our preference for that is an in person meeting.

088Barbara: Zoom meeting can be very good we are all finding our feet there is all sorts of skill do not give up on Zoom. I think as we can see by the numbers in attendance here that it increases people participation ability to participate, I often work weekends so this is a chance to be here because there are times I can't be here and to be quite honest my pandemic outcome is going to be I'm not sitting in a hot stuffy room with anybody for a long, long time. So, I'm sure we can get better at Zoom today is a first try I love that we should have a meeting to start off reviewing R&R's I think we should very much do that however many times people have said how much the board directors does this board any board all board of directors I'm not used to them doing as much as they do here. I would really prefer that we strike a committee to go over all of this this is not what the board of directors signed up for to undertake that kind of review share the work share the responsibility and have a strong committee then bring it forward to membership at a special meeting how ever we want to do the process that way by Zoom so the people in the interior and Mexico and people that are busy can all attend. Thank you.

220Cliff: Now to motion #13 by 091 needs to be seconded.

323Kari-lynn: I second the motion.

395Zoey: In terms of another committee I would just like to put to everybody is that myself being on a board too and just hearing from past board members here at the HP it is challenging to get people to

want to volunteer their time to be on board so as well as it seems it is hard for me to imagine to be able to find enough people that want to be on a board and then also be on a committee as well to find that many people who be willing to put in that time so that would be my only concern is that it could be challenging to find people to fill all these voluntary roles

156Rosse: Someone made a compliment and I like to comment that everybody submit the R&R's that they want to change and then we put it all together and then forward it out to people before the next meeting. We'll have a "SPECIAL MEETING" for the R&R's and go over them in a special meeting whether it is a Zoom meeting or what ever but if everybody forwards what we have from last year the new stuff because we know that the R&R's need to be up graded so if everybody would submit theirs then we can put it together resend it out with the suggested update and then have a meeting to go that. I think that is a great idea.

096Carrol: You are absolutely correct on trying to get members to become board members but as your past president I know what it is like to be on that board, and it was not fund and that is why I never went to go on again. Is how I was treated and the emails and the name calling so people have to learn to respect each other and I put forward the respect policy and the board has yet to acknowledge it? And that was even when I was on the board, they kept pushing it off and pushing it off and pushing it off. That's all I have to say.

220Cliff: Which motion are you talking about Carrol? #13 which is the one on the table.

096Carrol: No, I'm talking about the respect policy

220Cliff: Okay that's general

096Carrol: No because you didn't put it on the agenda

064Linda: The one thing I would like is during this discussion there seems there are a lot of people that are willing and have reviewed the R&R's I think it would be a good idea to try and set up committee of 10 to try and come up with them and then put it up with the board. Because we noted in our emails as a group our emails don't necessarily get answered and if they are not getting answered how do we know our recommendations are getting put on the list. I'm sorry but I don't have the trust in this to go forward it should be a committee

249Larry: I support #096 because I'm on through me work I'm on lots of different committees and committees work very well for getting things done and it doesn't leave all the pressure onto the board/directors to do everything puts everybody through the park involved and as we can see from what has happen over the past 6 or 7 months is not everything gets followed by the bylaws and R&R's that we have and if we committees we all work better together in my opinion to have everybody follow what needs to be happening in the park.

113Paul: I agree with committees in fact one of the next motions was one that I put up we strike a committee to review all policies & procedures its not just R&R's & bylaws which are very important I have read the bylaws multiple times and there are changes that need to happen there but also processes and procedures that happen in the park with regard to communication and can we improve

the office when I got on the board like the functions of the office I know Lynn is doing a great job when I got on the board there was no orientation for me all I was told was okay you are the Secretary you take minutes and that's it. Later I found out the secretary is actually responsible for quite a bit more. So that's a process that I think that needs in place so that the new board get a great orientation they get a walk around the park in the office and so on and so far. So, its not just about R&R's and bylaws but how are park functions in all areas its what I think the committee should get into and explore every aspect of our association to try and make things up to date and better if they need be. Thank you.

220Cliff: Paul are you talking to #14 or #15

113Paul: I'm talking towards the current one #13 but I think it could all fall under motion #15

220Cliff: I'm looking for a seconder on #15

064Linda: I Second motion #15

220Cliff: What about #14 Cindy are you talking on that still.

321Moodie: Second motion #14

091Cindy: cliff do you need me to speak to this I don't need to because I have provided a rational and it looks like other want to speak to it.

323Aaron: I just wanted to comment to on the committee I think it is a fantastic idea I know someone else mention and that earlier that it would be hard to find people that are willing to take the time to do it but we never know until that actually done there are a lot of R&R's and bylaws that do need to updated and the board generally is ruled as generally a good job of the jobs that they do but it is a lot for them to handle and you know they lanely obviously you know is being a little overwhelmed with everything that is going on and I think to help alleviate some of the stress and hard work

220Cliff: motion #14

323Aaron: Well, I apologize, and I totally agree with that too. I think it is a great idea you know get with the times and move forward with the way things are done. I think today has gone well I noticed once that a certain board member has left it gone quite smoothly there hasn't been a whole lot of interruptions here so yeah so, I'm definitely all for it.

064Linda: I agree with this completely our group has been derogated a lot actually has had 3 Zoom meeting the 2nd & 3rd ones ran very smooth they were moderated people were stopped from over discussing or getting rude or which they never did but we were kept to point we actually voted on the meeting everything went smoothly and I'm on a board where I'm actually the president we have our AGM by Zoom we have over a 150 people attend it runs smoothly it can happen it is the way to go to move forward with technology.

220Cliff: We are onto #15 now.

097Rollie: These Zoom meetings you are going to get a lot more people out to these Zoom meeting then you are to come out to public meetings because a lot of people just can't make it so these Zoom meeting are fantastic, and you know once they

220Cliff: Rollie we are talking about motion #15

097Rollie: Of all of the committees that I have served on in the past no board of directors no committee can do it all them selves and that is just a fact. Sometimes all of us take on too much and we get caught up and we get sort of behind on things but it would be I really think I'm just making a suggestion that we would have a few more sub-committees advisory committees to help the board of directors out I mean even we always have a financial committee entertainment committee even an elections committee we had so many committee to help the board and it made it much easier for the board and give everybody to get more input and feedback

088Barbara: Here, Here Rollie so well said. I'm certainly in favour of committees of all sorts voted committees volunteer committees how ever we need to do we need more committees because people have spoken so much about this my happy place this is my special place but its not a place out of our lives we have to work very hard all of us to make it this wonderful place and I think its okay to demand of ourselves and our neighbours not just the board to do more and I've been on a million committees I've lived in Co-Op housing for decades not everyone is going to participate but the more people who do the better and you know a little bit of an example sorry I'm slightly going back to a previous motion but in a were here on Zoom saying we like Zoom and I love Zoom but hate Zoom but it is very effective but why are we not asking the membership do they like Zoom do they want Zoom what barriers do they have of Zoom we need to reach out and ask each of us what do we want what do we need how can we make thing work better. Just deciding that the people are too old or too incapable does a disservice but maybe its true, so I don't want to say I'll do it this way when we haven't even asked the membership. Thank you

250Lise: I just want to say as well I agree with Rollie and a lot of people that have already commissioned the committee I like the committee idea because it takes pressure of the board who sounds like they are over worked and I also feel that it they will be I think that many people will stand up to be on those committees from our group I'm talking about the membership the R&R's policies and procedures the voting committees all those I think are excellent ideas and I think that we should go with that. Thank you.

220Cliff: Okay I think we are onto #16 now. We need a seconder for that. Sharon, do you have a seconder for that motion.

323Kari-Lynn: I can second it.

397Rita: Hi I was answering the I was going to put a comment in regard to the previous motion, but I could roll it into one. First of all, Jeff I'd like to thank you for being the host for this meeting you've given up a great deal of your time to take on this job and we really, really appreciate you for doing that so thank you its not rewarding I'm sure but thank you for being there and doing this great job for us so thank you. In regards to the board the board the board we been here for quite a long time and my

husband has been on the board a couple of times and there's never been an issue with the board being able to do their job ever. They've managed this park each individual board that's been on there have managed this park they've been able to do what ever they needed to do up until quite honestly this year when there was again and I'm going to say it a group of people that started up a discussion group face book page and created havoc in this park and I I'm honestly I've heard it said so many times the board has spent an inordinate number of hours days dealing with concerns issues that have all been brought forth by this group of people never before

220Cliff: Rita just one point you're going about matters of the board values of the board. We on #16 at the moment and that is about setting up an email system are you going to talk about that

397Rita: Yeah, I can Cliff and I apologize however I did have my hand up and didn't get in on time on the last one that's I why I was speaking to that. I'm just going to let go at that I just think the board is doing an amazing job I stand behind every board we have ever had it's a tough job it's a thankless job and congratulations on board for doing the best they can. And that's all I'll say.

113Paul: motion #16 absolutely needs to happen. Every board member should have an @harrisonholidaypark email so they can be access directly because right now its everybody's personal email also the system currently includes Lynn seeing too much stuff and being put in a compromising position. People mailing in their votes and stuff like this and responses to members going through Lynn's computer. So, I'm strongly in favour of this motion.

123Sharon: I put forth #16 7 #17 and in the interest of time I think I'll just address them both right now if you don't mind. I had a passionate two-minute speech ready to go but a lot of it has been said so I'm just letting that go and to be honest a lot of it is about what has happened in the past and I really want to be positive and look forward so we can do great things and one of the things in my speech was how much we love the park and we've only been here since 2019. Yeah there is some disruptions but people have to be able to talk and have to be able to have meetings one of the big things for me is confidentiality so #16 and the email access being changed and going directly to board members has to happen look with what is going on with Lynn she's going off sick and these sorts of things and it is nothing against her and her skills she is amazing but none of that should be channeled through her we really have to address that and I noticed that Cliff said in his letter to all the members that it wasn't necessary I'm sorry Cliff I totally disagree with that we have to get those direct emails set up. The other thing #17

220Cliff: Before you go onto #17 you need a seconder

091Cindy: I'll second it.

123Sharon: I thought in the interest of time I'd just address them both it is all about moving forward making improvements. #17 is upgrading the website I think everyone that has gone on that website realizes it needs to happen. Anyone that's received an email from the park realizing that Lynn has to send that email to each person but when ever the website is updated, we should all get an automatic email it shouldn't have to be any labour involved. We've actually had a member of the park Cindy an amazing person offered to do that for the park free of charge I noticed none of that rational was even

put into my motion which I'm a little choked about what I see now that this board could not handle dealing working with someone like that so maybe when the new board comes on we can ask Cindy for her wonderful expertise and thank her for her care and offer of her time because she offered to do it free of charge to update all of this kind of stuff. So, we have to start using our members whether it is in committees or volunteers I really want to see us open to be able to do that we have amazing people in our park and we love our park our gem so let's work for it and move forward.

011Linda: I agree with Paul & Sharon on both #16 & #17 for sure. We belong to four other places everyone has a telephone book in every park we go to with everybody's name lot number and the phone numbers some have emails but, in this park, everybody is being so secretive and we can't even contact anybody so that is how the discussion group came about because the only way we could talk to anybody is through the discussion group. And then we all find out that different things have happened throughout the winter. And this I tell you I've been in the park since 1992 and I have not had had any difficulty until this fall and this winter so I'm just saying that has to change so I agree we need a new website we need to get in the modern times Zooms and e-transfers and so forth leave our employees out of it, it is not fair.

231 Desmond: Can I say something (011Linda: Yes) So have never had a pandemic like this before that's what all this problem raised from.

011Linda: No, you took it all wrong I know what Bonnie Henry said you took it all wrong. You took it your way and your way only. Yes, you did. Well, if you did you would have got the police in to through people out not get any of our staff members to do it.

231 Desmond: We didn't though anybody out (011Linda: You did you had Frank go up to friends and say please leave the park you're not allowed in here. You can not do that. Know you put Frank Lynn Roland in a position) you guys are putting them in that position not us.

011Linda: We did not you did.

231 Desmond: oh yes you did

011Linda: No, we did not this is before the discussion group ever started

231 Desmond: the discussion group has put Frank and Lynn and Roland in jeopardy

220Cliff: Please we are getting into a screaming match

128Sharon: I agree with this motion that the board set up its own private email. I do not believe that the staff should be involved in any of the business it is not their business. I would think this should have been something that was done a long time ago. Desmond when you said that the pandemic has had a lot to do with the disturbances, I think it has exposed some of the weaknesses and it is a good thing we just need to act and fix.

293Jim: Yes, I'd just like to respond to member who raised the question about the pandemic from where I sit today the park should probably be vacant considering it is a recreational property and we're under restrictions to travel for recreational purposes currently, but I guess that didn't cross anybody's mind.

250Lise: we're speaking to #17? Correct? (220Clifff: Yes) The website I agree with that motion that we should up grade our website our emails system to the board specifically. For me there were several time that I had questions for the board but I refused to put it through the office so that the staff wouldn't be put in the middle

220Cliff: Lise what we have said to other members if you want to put something confidential to the board put it into a sealed envelope and it then goes straight into the president's cubby hole, and he will open it at the board meeting

250Lise: Yeah, I understood that. I still felting would be I, I didn't even know who the board members were I we've only be there a year I wasn't familiar with any thing the protocol and so on, but I still felt that the staff shouldn't be put in that position, but you know so anyways I'm just saying I agree that the website and the email system should be in place. Thank you

156Rose: sorry I just need to find out who seconded #17.

091cindy: I did Cindy #91

352cheryl: Just basically saying we support the board and the decisions they made regarding covid protocol so far and we're not through yet you don't know what is coming I believe and its said by other people here that the board has been acting in good faith and it is volunteer work and it is new work to everybody and I think we really need to kind of give a little rope through out time even before pandemic times not every decision in the park not every rule not everything is going to go your way all the time, There has been things that I have as an individual haven't particularly liked but I go along with the majority. So, I really feel that we need to continue to support this board and yes if any of them want to leave before their term is up and if people want to vote a new one in there is an opportunity for that at an AGM. But lets just get on with this summer and yeah get to know each other better and continue with the board that we've got and the situation we got with the pandemic.

011Linda: Yes, just muting I'm muting sorry. No, I still say you guys aren't listening to the PHO orders properly because its only to keep the health the hospitals open for the people that live in the area. So, if you belong to Fraser Health you can go to Fraser Health I cannot come down because I'm in interior health and I'm obeying that rule. You guys made your own rules up all winter and this only came out this month that they preferred that you did not go there was no rule before that and there still no rule only that they have the boarder between interior health Fraser health and island coastal health.

Barb: Desmond I'd like to respectively respond to what you said about not being allowed in the park. I can categorically tell you that my husband was told he was not allowed in the pard to stay overnight by one of the staff members. So, you have to understand that it was a second place of residence for him as an essential worker and he was told he had to not stay in the park.

231 Desmond: Yes, you are correct that we were not allowing to stay overnight but we were not refusing anybody from entering the park.

Barb: So, but that was part of what the PHO said just a recommendation you as a board took it upon yourself to overstep that and not allow an essential worker in so I just

231 Desmond: He was not deemed an essential worker and we were looking after the health of people that were living here in the winter and we're trying to protect their health

Barb: He was not coming

231 Desmond: You did not like that people don't like everything but that's what we were trying to do is to protect their health. That time has come and gone now.

Barb: that's not the point Desmond.

231 Desmond: And maybe they will be the first people will be happy about that thank you for protecting our health we're now alive to live another day.

Barb: Oh, my goodness Desmond he was not coming in to have a party or associate with any body he was coming in late at night to slay in his trailer in his lot not talking to anybody which he had a right

231 Desmond: That's what we decided to do and that's what we decided to do. No, we didn't overstep our boundaries

091Cindy: We are already legally obligated to follow the PHO mandate not recommendations mandates. The board cannot enforce the recommendations that are in contravention of our bylaws without changing the bylaw by a special resolution which must be voted on after discussion and a General Meeting this motion must be with drawn as it is unconstitutional illogical and has no basis.

231 Desmond: Maybe for you but for the rest of us

091Cindy: No by the Society Act.

323Kari-Lynn: I second Cindy's motion to have it removed.

220Cliff: if you don't want to vote on this then don't vote on it. We are not taking those motions

323Kari-Lynn: But we are following Roberts rules of order, so I think you have to.

220Cliff: I cannot remove it. It is up to the person who put the motion forward.

323Kari-Lynn: Sorry why can't it be removed? I missed that part I missed the explanation.

220Cliff: Because it is up to the person who put the motion forward.

323Kari-Lynn: It is not up to the person who put the motion forward to remove it.

091Cindy: Sharon I just want to say I understand where you were coming from but it just it, they are legally bound to following the PHO mandate everything else has to still follow our bylaws and Society Act. So that's why this motion isn't valid.

220Cliff: Well, if you don't like it just don't vote for it.

240Letti: So, in my comment on #18 it says that we as a membership need to declare our full support for the board of directors and any of there decisions. Pretty sure you can't tell someone that they have to support a boards decision to me that seems you're taking our rights away to have our own opinion to

decide whether we feel that a rule or regulation is right. So that motion to say that we are voting to tell me that I have to support someone is taking away my rights. So, for that reason this motion needs to be removed. You can't tell me how to feel.

128Sharon: I agree the motion should be removed.

220Cliff: We are not considering motions here. If you don't like it just don't vote for it

128Sharon: You've removed two of them already

123Dana: you set a president when you removed two or three of them already.

091Cindy: Point of order Cliff, legally you can not allow these motions to sit on the ballots when they would contravene the law.

220Cliff: The law does not prevent you from going to extra steps to protect the members here. In our minds

091Cindy: It does if it violates our bylaws, and you can't enforce people to support your decisions they have that freedom to disagree. I know it is actually quite confusing but its if you're following Roberts Rules or the Society Act or even our own bylaws you can't allow this motion to stand. And that's on you to remove cliff as the chair.

085Don: May I? On the other two motions that have been removed from balloting Motion #11 was clearly a duplication and therefore it was removed voluntarily by the board of directors. Item #10 it was agreed upon by the person presented the motion and the seconder that that would be a breach of free speech and voluntarily again withdrawn. The motions of support for the board are in the same position if those members want to present a motion for voting at a GM, they're fully intitled to do so. They have been seconded and presented if you don't like the motion vote NO period. It is as simple as that the president that was set on the other two both those motions were withdrawn voluntarily.

323Kari-Lynn: Rosemary didn't agree to remove it. We Cliff agreed to remove it.

156Rose: Yes, based on me telling Cliff to remove it I sat here and told him to remove it

113Paul: What happens if it passes so we all have to do what you say so if it stays a motion and it passes then it is what a dictatorship and we just okay everything you say we half to do now it doesn't make sense.

128Sharon: It needs to be removed

064Linda: I agree it needs to be removed.

293Jim: I don't agree with anything coming off of the ballot that was mailed out to us that some people have already voted on and submitted.

030Richard: Please remove #18

249Larry: I agree it needs to be removed from the ballot as is mentioned earlier by me I asked for a if we are following the Society Act and you said that we are so either we are following it or we are not following it cliff what is the answer

220Cliff: We are following it and I'm asking if the presenter wants to take this item off

249Larry: You have the right under the society Act. As a chair you have the right to remove this motion are you going to remove it and follow the Society Act or are you just going to do what ever you want to do.

220Cliff: I'm going to rely on the presenter to take it off if they want to take it off

276Sharon: I would like to leave there I would like to have the right to vote for or against this motion I think all of the members should have that right and let the members speak

091Cindy: Let it be known that according to the Roberts rule of order that no motion is an order that conflicts with federal state or local laws with the rules of parent organization or with the organization constitution of bylaws or other rules of the organization. Even if an unanimous vote

220Cliff: did you have your hand up to talk did you have your hand up to talk there are a lot of ahead of you.

091Cindy: It is a point of order

220Cliff: A lot of people have a point of order here.

091Cindy: Yeah, points of order can happen any point in a meeting. Even if a unanimous vote adopts the motion, it is null and void if it conflicts with the Roberts rules. By not removing this you are in violation of the Society Act your own rules for operating this meeting and the legal law from the PHO office that needs to be on the record.

408Denise: I'm sorry I'm trying to put my hand up and I'm thoroughly confused is there some way the I either jump in or you can let me speak in a minute, Can I just quickly talk now. I'm just asking a question if I understand this properly if I'm saying please remove this doesn't mean doesn't take away the intent my understanding is that it is just the legality of this so I would just say please remove this doesn't mean I don't support my board is it the way that it is written

252Roger: I think we are being asked here to support not only the protocols but also the recommendations to me that is two things and my understanding there can only be one item per motion am I do I understand that correctly. Is that correct that you can only have one item per motion and not two.

236Kelly: Correct

252Roger: okay so protocols and recommendations is that not two issues per motion in this particular case

220Cliff: is it two or is it one

252Roger: well, there is an "and" in there so that signifies a second one. So based on that I think if recommendations what to be put in there it needs to be a separate motion but at the same time, I'm not supporting that I'm just saying that it is a second motion within that one motion shouldn't be allowed should be removed I think the whole motion needs to be removed also on that basis. Cliff it is your responsibility are you going to do it or are you not going to do it

220Cliff: Sharon can I ask you whether we can remove this.

352Cheryl: I would rather leave it as is because you know we are talking here not about hard legality we are talking about the spirit of things that are done and I don't see that protocols are particularly different from recommendations, so I think we are getting pretty picky. I want it left as it is.

252Roger: I don't believe its Sharon's responsibility Cliff; I think it is your responsibility

220Cliff: I want to leave it then and we will go on to #19

276Sharon: I have a statement here. As members of HHP we elect our board of directors to be the stewards or trustees of our beloved property this past 15 months have been a test of endurance for everyone on the globe as members we also know and have experienced the limitations regarding the use of this property for the past two seasons I have confidence that the board the members elected for this current term had no idea what ahead for them as a board I am asking you for your support of this motion as our way of saying thank you for the extra ordinary difficulties in keeping members and their families safe this year. A board member takes on the role for caring for the society and the assets that involves three duties; the duty of care that is giving the same care and concern to their board responsibilities as any prudent and ordinary person would, a duty of loyalty that is placing the interest of the organization ahead of their own interest of all times disclosing any conflict of interest and not using the board service as a means for personal or commercial gain, and the duty of obedience that is carrying out the organization's mission in accordance with our stated goals as an organization. Failure to do these three functions will result in liability to each board member as well as to our organization as a whole this job is not always pleasant or easy and in 2021 it has put up incredible challenges. As members we recognize the hard work of the board in carrying out these duties. We also acknowledge our role as members to uphold the values and decisions of the board. Thank You.

249Larry: I have a point of order on that. Because it the boards umm responsibility to already do that and it doesn't need to be a motion. It is already being done and your supposed to be already in place so there is no need to have it as a motion.

252Roger: That is your responsibility

249Larry: That is what I'm saying yes. Again, back-to-back two motions that the board is already supposed to be doing and for what ever reason they are not doing it and they need to put it into a motion. I don't understand why. Cliff

220Cliff: We didn't put this into a motion this was put in by one of the members.

249Larry: So are you going to remove this one. (Cliff: No) So again you are going to go against the Society Act.

220Cliff: if that is what it means. I don't think it is going against the Society Act

064Linda: If you don't believe that's not going against the Society Act and we are supposed to agree with everything board does says what ever how do you figure that it is okay to ignore almost 200 people in many emails requesting a special meeting so that we could discuss issues ignoring us degrading us talking horrible the president's report had words in there that should never ever be spoken how do you think that's okay I'm not quite sure. The only option we have which was to partition the members of this membership we got 10% of the membership to agree to a special meeting the board was legally we got legally over 10% of the membership signing a partition once this is requested the board has a legal responsibility to hold this meeting, they have completely ignored us. We have been left with no choice but to appeal to CRT or the civil right tribunal this board has spread falsehoods ignored a large group of members we now have over 200 ??? discussion some of our members agree with our approach some do not none the less we intend on seeking this process through. We are asked that this board resign or be removed from office. Thank you

231 Desmond: Thank you for your opinion

220Cliff: We have addressed those points already and its now up to the members to vote what they feel what they ???

113Paul: Certainly, I feel we should be supporting the board of directors we all know how much work goes into it. Committees would take a lot of stress away from the board and work from the board. The problem was the board didn't want to take any help. And clearly, we can tell that they really don't know what they're doing this meeting these motions and they violated multiple bylaws and when they were told and pointed out the bylaws, they ignored them, and they ignored people. Yes I support all of them the hard work people are putting in you know my self included I have put in 100's of hours like all the others its been very difficult for all of us and none of us want this but there have been mistake after mistake and the board has not listened and taken the advice of professionals in the park that could help and here we are in this mish mash of things going wrong and it didn't have to happen this way so its hard to support the board in that matter when like Linda says they didn't listen to anybody they disrespected two petitions and they just don't understand the bylaws. So, its tuff. Doing the best, you can but when you realize that you've made mistakes like I did when I voted to restrict access to the park and I apologized to everybody for that my mistake in doing that I realized that had no right to take that bylaw away from people and violating bylaws is against the bylaw obviously but it is not our duty to do that and I am sorry for my action and that

220Cliff: Thank you Paul

387Chuck: Well, this has been a very long and drawn-out process which started from what I understand the whole story something very simple regarding the position of the board in stating certain regulations or requirements about people coming to and staying in the park and all of these other worms and rats have come out the holes to fill in everything else that has happen over these last 4 and few hours. I would like to say that being on the board is not an enjoyable job at times and at the end of it yeah it is rewarding, and I speak to that from a person basis on the board of HHP. The board is by the constitution nominated by the membership to look after the management and the function of the park in every

regard and they have a team of 7 people to do that, and they then delegate their responsibilities to the staff when they aren't there. And like everybody else they have homes to go they have to jobs to go to so therefore they can not be at the park 24/7 nor can a representative of the board be at the park 24/7 that authority is delegated to staff. Over the years the staff have signed on to confidentiality agreements they are not allow to discuss or pass on anything that the hear or see to anyone else except the person involved (that is named within that article) about what they can and can't do and what is going on and I think to a fault Lynn who has been at the park for number of years and Frank who also has been there for a number of years they have kept that confidentiality. I think that all of us and I fear from what some of what's going to come up from all of this all of us have to understand that the park HHP is run as a democracy yes everyone has their opinion and their right to express opinion but once your opinion is expressed and the board makes a decision which is usually followed by a approval of the membership but in lieu of the up coming AGM sometimes decisions have to be made we are then obligated to stick with that because that's what we voted on. No, you don't have to agree with what is going to happen you only have to follow that majority rules and if the rule is put in place, we must all follow that. I'm really support that the board has gone through very trying and difficult time and it hasn't been easy and I'm sure their reactions at time they probably would regret them as much as those that have worn it but I'm also willing to think that a lot of people have not fully considered the position that they are putting their board into just because there is some 40 or 50 members that thinks that something should be done doesn't mean that the other 350 should agree with them and the other 350 don't then guess what the 40 or 50 have to swallow it and live with it and if they don't like what they have to live with then they have to make decisions for themselves on how they want to go forward. I fully support this board as I have every other board that I've been with. Yes there are changes can be made technology improved markedly over the last 10 years leave alone the last 20 to 30 years and we need to catch up with times this meeting its self as a zoom meeting is a best difficult to do and I think if you tried to have a zoom meeting at the same time as you has an in person meeting at the park I don't know you would have take a hard look at just how your are going to manage that kind of meeting with everybody inserting. My last stint is the longest standing member on the board right now is Don Pepper and he has been extra ordinary in the work he has done for that park in handling all of our Finances sure he's got a personality we all have personalities but what he has done for park has been incredible and I think we are in such a good state as we are because of his good and hard work. Thank you very much.

064Linda: Point of Order I'd like to stricken that Chuck mentioned that there were moles and rats this is the type of language that should not be used, and the other thing is that he mentioned that there were a group of 50 that were complainers or what ever there are close to 200 so I just want to it noted in the records.

113Paul: Also, Chuck if the board does make rules & reg changes on the fly they can not contravene the bylaws. So certainly, we can make changes just to R&R's, but they can not contravene the bylaws. And the bylaws state you can stay overnight in the park in the winter so the fact that we didn't that was a violation of the bylaws. The board has to accept that and admit that, and they have yet to do that.

387Chuck: Yes, I agree that they can not make R&R's as they go in this case, they're decision to restrict access to the park for over night stay was based on the Health Authority's advisement so they only

restricted certain things they did not change the R&R's they just restricted it for the safety and welfare of those in the park and for the staff at the park.

113Paul: Restricting a bylaw is the same as changing it or taking it away and it is not the boards to restrict people's movements

276Sharon: Well I'm just mystified by this discussion I've never seen a meeting before where people actually remove motions I just think that we should be allowed to put forward our motions and have people vote on them and I'm it is a tactic I've never seen in a meeting and I just don't think its right I think all of the people in the park who members have the right to vote against or for this motion and so I would ask that we respect that right

387Chuck: Can I just apologize for the use the words worm and rats term somebody said I used. That's way of base and I sincerely apologize, and I would like if it can be taken of the records its not like me, I got too emotive I apologize.

249Larry: I have a couple of comments. When you're saying that you are following the health orders how do the health orders only work during the day but not at night. So, if I drive into the HHP where I'm daylight doing stuff and walking around what ever I need to be doing using the bathroom facilities or what ever that's not part of the health order but as soon as it hits 3 o'clock that's now part of the health order so I'm confused on how you're following the Health Order that's one. And the second part of that was that someone said I think it was Sharon said that everyone needs to vote on their motion, and you know motion gets put forward and it should be voted on Cliff I have a question for you did every motion that got put forward get put on here or did you pick and choose which ones.

220Cliff: Everyone that was forwarded got put on here

249Larry: I'm not sure that is a true statement I'm pretty confident that not all them got put on here.

220Cliff: The ones that did not get put on here ??? AGM where it was clearly stated that this was not an AGM but a spring general meeting. Sorry it said AGM that will be deferred to the next AGM.

249Larry: So, motions that got put forward didn't all get put on that what's you're saying.

220Cliff: No, I'm saying those that were meant for AGM will be deferred to an AGM that's what I'm saying

156Rose: Every motion that was received from Lynn to us was put on so if I didn't receive something I couldn't put it on but everything that came through Lynn's email was put on as motions.

097Rollie: Yeah folks just a touch on what everybody been saying there I know that we can not agree on everything all the time but realistically it does come down to the fact that if someone puts a motion forward it is up to that person to resign that motion before it is normally removed and maybe people will just agree on how it relates to a PHO or what ever all I would suggest is that maybe after this is done somebody on the board or someone can check to see if it is in line with R&R's of the health Authority and if it isn't it can always be changed and we can be advised that's all. That's all I have to say thank you.

220Cliff: We will now go on to motion #21 sorry my apologies #20 I guess we are all getting a bit tired.

276Sharon: I think that this is just a continuation of supporting the board and making sure that and in away it reinforces some of the arguments that people made for removing #2 in that the board upholds the values of the society to ensure members safety & health in this place and that includes things like respect with board members staff & members and I just wanted to be clear that you know the board has had a difficult year and we all in our lives make mistakes and we try to learn from them and we need to carry on this park so it is an enjoyable place for everybody to be. Thank you.

249Larry: Point of order again sorry but I keep bringing point of order, but people can not tell me who I have to respect and who I don't have to respect I may have to be nice to people and not yell and scream but I don't have to respect or agree with anybody point as long as I'm not mean or rude or anything else it is my choice

220Cliff: Wholly agree with you

128Sharon: Motion #20 support the BOD in directing the operations of the park. The board based on our bylaws under Section C and Under SectionD7 is already bound to directing the operations of the park. This motion seeking to mandate members to support board decisions is constitutionally unsound this motion should be with drawn

271Deborah: Yes, I just wanted to mention how people keep talking about you know listening to the board and their direction and everything I agree they do a lot of good work however when they are not following the R&R's our Society Act and won't back down from that then we stay a standstill and cliff doesn't seem to be answering any questions at all. Thank you

220Cliff: Okay let's move on to #21

271Deborah: You just blew me off again Cliff

220Cliff: what do you want me to answer? NO RESPONSE Okay let's move on.

091Cindy: So, this motion Sharon we are already legally obligated to this it is not something that can not voted on if the employees and board do not feel they have a safe healthy or respectful workplace employees have the right to contact WorkSafeBC BC and the board can contact the CRT for remediation. An employee has already referred a complaint to WorkSafeBC and even though the board is trying to pin this stress induced action on a group of members it falls on the board and the individual directors to ensure that the employees are aware that members are intitled to exercise their rights this motion must be with drawn

281Dawn: I'd like to second 276Sharon'ss motion number 1 and number 2 I feel the word respect in stead of using respect is respectful being respectful in the park because Larry is right you don't have to respect anybody, but you have to be respectful

250Lise: To that motion #21 I feel like covid is alerting us to things that need change, and this is definitely not a negative thing and overall, of R&R's the way we are respectful to each other and all that kind of stuff. I feel like we need to bring safety and peace back to our process and that means safety for

not just the members safety for the Board Members future board members as well. I think a fresh start for everybody would be good. We have to remember that we are all members here and we have to live together as a family in this park who ever we are so whether we are on the board now or later so I feel like this motion should be with drawn it is of a I feel like we are already trying to be respectful, and I think it should be with drawn. Thank you

249Larry: So on this motion my fear is that this motion gets rejected and then what happens anybody can do what ever they want to the members our staff members and I disagree with that because there is rules there is laws you have to insure that everybody has a safe place to work now the board now Sharon is trying to take that right away from the office staff and that is totally wrong because if of all of a sudden everybody could go up to them and start yelling and screaming and say well it was accepted is wrong this needs to be withdrawn because we can not have the staff treated in an unfair situation

352Cheryl: I believe I second this and I stand by it but it is the spirit of this is clear is that we want to proceed in some kind of a respectful way and we can be picky about wording we can get all legalistic but if you want to really be realistic the way this whole meeting on can be questioned very much I think we just have to go with what we've got here we've got a ballot and people are going to be voting on it and it will show us some directions for the park we don't need to get hung up on you know woo this is really legal and all that kind of stuff lets try to move forward as a group of people who are trying to live together

097Rollie: Yeah, folks just to amplify on comments there. In regards to the last 3 or 4 motions there in regards to support a board of directors you know the word support does not mean obey. And it does not mean listen without you know having any having any questions I mean support means if you can help them out in any way help them. If you can maybe have a little bit of advice when they have the opportunity to pass on your advice. If you have criticism well make it constructive you know lets not take the daggers out you know I just came from a small community in Newfoundland of 400 people I just moved into a community of 400 trailers so yeah but any way I think that we can all move forward and get along and I think that maybe we just have the time to sit back and maybe in our own minds just have a reset and moving forward just the staff are the nucleus of this whole place without the staff nothing is going to get done and I think that we should be obligated to show them the upmost respect and be respectful and also be respectful to each other that's all I'm saying so you know if you can just move forward lets just take a breath and lets error on the side of positivity. Thank you.

249Larry: I'm going to put a motion of error cliff I'm concerned about this motion on the safety of the staff because if it gets rejected and a No vote then what could happen to the staff so I'm asking you as the president and as the chair are going to remove this motion in protect so that our staff is protected

220Cliff: The motion is quite clear if you don't like it don't vote for it. I don't think anybody in a sane mind is going to say No.

249Larry: What Cliff if this motion goes forward and it does and it does get a rejected what's going to happen to the board members because you are saying that it gets to be voted on, I'm saying that the protection of the board sorry of staff you need to remove this we want our staff protected in the upmost

220Cliff: I absolutely agree and as Cheryl Seaman stated the spirit of this to ensure that we carryon with this in a safe manor

231 Desmond: Who in their right mind would want to go cause some problems at Lynn's office.

220Cliff: We've now come to the end of the meeting. Its up to me now just to say Thank you to all those for your patience we have gone way over what our

323Kari-Lynn: Sorry I don't mean to do this I really don't, but a point of order is #11 you have open discussions

220Cliff: We have been talking about open discussions all the time

323Kari-Lynn: You didn't finish motion #21 if anybody else wants to speak is what I'm saying okay thanks.

220Cliff: We are all getting tired (323Kari-Lynn: I know me to Thank you)

123Dana: I just wanted to clarify an earlier comment how I had spoke about losing faith and trust in the board I just want to qualify that. A number of reasons #1 non response to emails for myself and other members #2 discriminatory practices by way of written comments regarding a particular group of like minded people #3 Behavior unbecoming of a director by way of written disparaging remarks directed towards individual members and groups of individuals members #4 Misuse of HPA email by way of introducing personal opinions and written communications assimilated to all members #5 Misuse of HPA email by way of campaigning within a report disseminated to all members #6 Engaging in non-democratic meeting and voting procedures (*Audio not functioning*) I'm unmuted now. And finally, #7 an attempt to interfere with the right of freedom of expression by way of resolution #10. It has been removed thank you. No further comment.

#178Michelle: Hi I'm speaking on behalf of my husband Ben who just stepped out for a meeting he couldn't attend but he asked me to read his statement that he'd like to say. Hello board members and members of the park. My sister Michelle and myself have been in this park for over 35 years and grew up here every summer as kids and now our kids are growing up here we love Harrison Holiday Park this past year and a bit has been a brutal year for all we are all covid fatigue and can not wait for this to be over certain things have taken place that has raised concerns for many members one of the biggest things that has spearheaded this so called division within the park was the board closing our park to its members even though health regulations did not call for this. This caused financial hardship to a few members like it did for my father who also owns a lot and is an essential worker he should have never been banned for the board to shut the doors and not allow people into their properties is the tip of an iceberg none of us saw coming shame on the board putting that uncalled duty on Frank Lynn and Roland. Making sure that they enforced these rules adding that unneeded stress to them if there were any violations to covid restrictions this is a bylaw and/or police matter I am pleased to see that in the

last few months engagement members have taken to apprise them selves about what is going on. We have never seen this kind of involvement before now that members and not just a couple, but numerous members have come forward starting to ask questions of board members and let us remind everybody this board is voted in and by the members who are there to work on behalf of us. It quickly became evident that this board did not like any questions to be asked or any thing pointed out that goes against their agenda what ever that maybe. The board does not have free range to do what they want this board or any board for that matter answers to the members of this park reading some of the newsletters and stuff that was posted on the web site shows that they do not like being questioned the board obviously does not like social media platform but it time to get in the times the current 1980 style of communication doesn't work any more. Due to my previous job, I was not on social media which to this day I stay clear of how ever it is the most used way of communication I was recently emailed a screenshot of a message from a current board member calling numerous members of the park stupid and idiots this is beyond unprofessional and shows you how the current board deals with things and steps to new lows. I took great offense to this; this is very childish and uncalled for. Moving into items that need to be addressed what grounds does the board have to remove another board member this can not be done under the Society Act part 5 division 2 #50. It must be done by a special resolution which takes you into the special resolution act so how do you explain that Paul has been removed from meetings and emails while he is actually a sitting member voted in by the members. I have followed discussion board on face book but not engaged I watched both sides and feel that the name calling was unprofessional and rude behaviour theys were definitely on one side and that was the side of the board, and it was negative to Lynn. We have gone back and looked and there was nothing negative to Lynn on that site. Thank you for your time.

323Bruce: Hi there I going to keep it short. First of all, I'd like to say to the board that I think you guys have done a very good job through out your time I think that you know probably some mistakes were made and mistakes you know that happens. But not willing to listen to mistakes and listen to certain board members and not giving people a voice when they have a right to is a mistake that to me is unforgivable and its unfortunate I'm kind of want to protest some of these things that you don't want to strike from motions and get rid of some that go against you know our rights and it really confuses me and I don't understand why you are so strong against removing some of these motions but ah yeah you know I would like to say thank you for giving us this meeting and taking the time to talk to us and ah that's all I have to say thank you.

O65Helene: Hi I just wanted to go over my last point and hope that its a meanable to everyone. That is that give the members an extra week to get their votes in. No harm in any of this and there are people who will have a hardship because they are not able to get to the park and mail might be slow and number of reasons why people will need an extra week so I'm proposing that the deadline for vote be Monday June 7th, 2021.

091Cindy: I second that motion

397Bob: Hi Jeff its Bob I'm sorry about that. I left the meeting today because I was not feeling well with my health and that's why I'm not there. And I've listened to the meeting the best I can its really insulting listening to some of the stuff being said it's a shame that people in this park are so divided it's a disgrace

of the insults that's going to Don Pepper and myself and other people we worked our butts off over the winter out here. I was the one that got stuck here for the winter. I was the one that got asked by the other board members to make sure those things were happening. When there was a vote that no one was allowed in the park I was the go-to. But that's fine I've got big shoulders I can take it all I'm going to sit here and accept any more abuse I'm just going to sit here and look after my own health because that's what important I've got a job to do and that is what I'm doing, and I'll keep doing it until I die. Thank you.

011Linda: Hello thank you for meeting us today or talking about these things today that have come up over the last 6 months but now I have a ballot here that as Seline said I'd like to know how I'm supposed to get it down there when tomorrow is a holiday and then we have Tuesday Wednesday Thursday and then has to be there for Friday so can we extend that date please.

220Cliff: The board will take this under consideration.

011Linda: It's a little hard when you are told not to come to the park, and you are not wanted and then you need to get these things done so.

220Cliff: Yeah, I agree with you

011Linda: Thank you Cliff

240Lettii: Sorry I had a child to get back to the trailer. I am the one that started the HPD Group and the reason that I started that group was because when I tried to ask questions on other groups I was deleted. No one wanted to discuss it on the other groups. Which is fine I totally respect that, so I started this group. One of the rules of this group has been to feel safe about hearing how you feel what is happened to you how can we move forward. At no time I challenge any body to find anything on this site that has ever been negative towards any of our staff. Members and board members accusing us of things that have simply not happened... So, all of those emails all of those postings that the board supported being sent out where they trashed this group and the members within this group is very unbecoming of behaviour of a board member and for that reason they should no longer be a part of this board. I am asking for their removal because they are talking about a large group of members with unsubstantiated facts, and I have asked if ????????? forth coming. Thank you.

250Lise: I was wondering if as other people have mentioned is if we could move the time to bring in our votes to a little later because some of the motions were voted and so some of the members may not realize that and because of the long weekend we'd only have like 4 days to mail in anything so could we extend that time for the ballots to come in please. Thank you.

085Don: Yeah, Jeff Cliff has already mentioned the board will take the extension under advisement and I believe within the next 24 hours the board will achieve a decision whereby that is a approach to extend the deadline for voting an email will be sent out to each and every member. Okay.

113Paul: Hi just a couple of quick things. A question for Cliff last fall we voted 4 board members but only one of One year term I'm wondering if you can let the membership know whether it is you, Bob, Des or Dorothy that are on a one-year term. Also, I would just like to remind everybody to go on HPD for

information about the special meeting and now that I've seen Bob on here Bob can you just confirm that you did resign for us, please. Thank you.

190Dorothy: Paul in regards as to who is the person that comes up this year that would be me.

113Paul: Thank you Dorothy.

190Dorothy: Ank I'm just going to say Bob did not resign.

113Paul: How about Bob, Bob can you tell me whether or not you sent an email and resigned. Is Bob still on the discussion.

Dawn: He said he was sick

113Paul: There he is

085Don: The board was unwilling to accept any resignation that occurred in the heat of the moment and on sober second thought it was requested that he with draw it and he did. And you know that and stop spreading it. He was requested to remove that, and he did. And you know that, Paul.

113Paul: You're not allowed to do that Don

085Don: Just quit beating a dead horse, Paul.

113Paul: You're not allowed to do that Don. You can't break bylaws and just say

085Don: ??? board member Paul please guit beating a dead horse.

113paul: Okay Don we'll just ignore the violations of bylaws the multiple violations of bylaws

397Rita: Bob is sick in bed for the abuse he has taken from this discussion group. Thank you.

Desmond: Stop badgering people.

Dawn: I want to thank everybody the board all the participants for this insightful gathering/meeting was ever it is called its good to see everybody's point of view the board and members of the park but I'd also really like thank you Jeff for the patience and endurance of answering hands and how challenging it is. So, thank you to everybody of this gathering.

003Jeff: Thank you I think it has gone quite well.

091Cindy: Hi I just need to go back something that hasn't been brought up yet actually in regards to the presidents report I am the person who has put forth a CRT complaint. The president's report is misrepresenting what the complaint is I requested the resolution of CRT complaint be and I quote "I want the board to follow the constitution and bylaws of the society act for holding ?? Vote. I'm happy to share all the wording that I used in that but the way the president has represented that is not factual and I think that that is really important. I also need to ask why the board sent me a letter stating that they require me to withdraw my complaint with the CRT and to provide proof that it was withdrawn to the board prior to this meeting. Why would the board state that?

220Cliff: Because we were honoring your request of putting this your petition onto this meeting and not confuse the rest of the meeting or members by having a second AGM soon after this, so it was in that light that we said please remove it.

091Cindy: Okay so you didn't ask you told me I had to 2nd of all the CRT complaint is in regard to the budget vote not in regards to our petition

293Jim: Yes, thank you I'd like to thank everyone for their participation today in particular the board to sit her and listen to some hard criticism offered by some members. I would like to sum up and say that as being somebody who has been on the board in the past it's a very difficult situation there are lots of things that come up that you deal with every single day. Its not unheard of coming to a board meeting that would last 3 to 5 hours in order to go through matters that are presented in front of the board this year was unprecedented it's a covid year nothing has been normal for anybody in any way shape or form, and I feel that in some regards this being done played in the approach that's been taken to overthrow the existing board. I'm going to sum up by saying that I heard a lot of points today why the board should go and there were a couple of motions on the agenda on the ballot to support the board and there was a lot of active campaigning for that to be removed people promoting their point of view go to the face book page go here go there. We really just didn't want to hear opinions what we really wanted to hear was a question and answer. I think we got that to a certain degree it wasn't as good as it could have been, but we did hear information that we hadn't heard before. I would like to thank Jeff personally for moderating this it's a tuff task. Thank you.

220Cliff: Thank you to everybody for staying with us here it's been a long day and Jeff to yourself thank you for setting this up for us and for sticking with us and doing the job you have we much appreciate that

003Jeff: Your welcome

220Cliff: And really to the rest of the board it has been tuff we've had to sit through a lot of stuff over here and I just want to thank the board and the staff for the dedication they've displayed over this last period as well.